Tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) has played a pioneering role in the history of flavivirus structural biology, being the first of these viruses for which a high atomic resolution structure of the envelope glycoprotein E was determined\(^1\) (Figure 1A,B). This undertaking started in 1987 in a collaboration between researchers at the Institute of Virology, University of Vienna, and the Department of Biochemistry, Harvard University. The work took several years and required the purification of a total of 49 mg of a soluble form of the TBEV E protein (sE) that was isolated by trypsin cleavage from 401 mg of purified infectious TBEV. To obtain these amounts, 34,300 embryonated eggs were used for the preparation of primary chick embryo cells that were required for growing the virus. First structural details became visible in 1993, and the complete study was finally published in 1995.\(^1\)

The structure of sE was a great surprise because of its unexpected features. In stark contrast to the prototypic influenza envelope glycoprotein (hemagglutin, HA), which forms spiky projections of HA trimers at the viral surface, the TBEV E protein is an antiparallel dimer that is oriented horizontally to the viral membrane (Figure 1A,B). Each of the monomeric sE subunits contains 3 domains (DI, DII, and DIII) that are connected to each other and the membrane-associated part of the protein by flexible linker regions. It took 8 additional years until another flavivirus E protein structure (the dengue virus E protein) was published.\(^2\) Meanwhile, atomic resolution structures of E proteins are now available for several of the most important human pathogenic flaviviruses, including dengue viruses, West nile virus (WNV), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), and Zika virus.\(^3\)–\(^8\)

All of these structures have the same overall protein architecture as the TBEV E protein. So far, no structure of this protein is yet published for yellow fever virus (YFV), the virus that gives the name to the genus Flavivirus in the family Flaviviridae.\(^9\)

In terms of their structure, flaviviruses are today among the best-studied enveloped viruses. Importantly, new technologies and instrumentation have led to the elucidation of structural details not only of the isolated E protein but also of whole virus particles using electron cryomicroscopy. Structures of both immature and mature virions are now available for closely-related mosquito-borne flaviviruses (such as dengue, West Nile, and Zika viruses),\(^10\)–\(^18\) but not yet for TBEV, and form the basis for understanding the viral life-cycles and interactions with antibodies at a molecular level.

Here, we review the structure of TBEV, with a focus on the role of E in the viral life-cycle and as a major determinant for the induction of virus neutralizing antibodies. These properties are discussed in the context of what is known for other flaviviruses, in order to provide a more rounded picture of TBEV structure-function relationships and to emphasize the gaps that still exist in our understanding of the structural foundations of TBEV biology.

### 2. Virus particle structures and life-cycle

#### 2.1. Virus assembly, maturation, and release

Virus assembly takes place in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and leads to the formation of immature particles (Figure 1C,E and Figure 2).\(^19\) This first assembly product contains 3 structural proteins: C (capsid), forming an ill-defined spherical core together with the viral genomic
RNA, and 2 membrane-associated proteins, prM (precursor of M) and E in a heterodimeric complex. Trimers of these heterodimers form spikes at the surface of immature particles that are non-infectious (Figure 1C,E).

Studies with TBEV have provided evidence that prM functions as a chaperone for the correct folding of E during its biosynthesis, at least in certain cellular environments. Experiments with recombinantly-expressed prM and E proteins in mammalian cells (COS-1) revealed that heterodimerization of the 2 proteins occurs rapidly and is important for the final folding steps. On the one hand, E apparently requires prM to reach its native conformation efficiently and on the other hand, prM needs E for rapid signal sequence cleavage at its N-terminus during viral polyprotein processing. After their formation in the ER, immature virus particles are transported through the exocytic pathway of the cell. As a crucial step of virus maturation, the prM protein is cleaved in the trans-Golgi network (TGN) by the cellular protease furin, generating membrane-anchored protein M and the proteolytic fragment pr.

Increasing the pH in the TGN of TBEV-infected cells by acidotropic agents (such as ammoniumchloride) or by bafilomycin A1 (a specific inhibitor of the vacuolar type H\(^+\)
ATPase) led to the release of immature particles with a 20–50 fold lower specific infectivity and hemagglutination (HA) activity than mature viruses. This suggested that a conformational change in the prM-E complex is induced by the slightly acidic pH in the TGN, which is required for furin cleavage. Evidence that the maturation cleavage is conferred by the TGN resident protease furin was obtained in experiments with a furin-deficient human cell line (LoVo), which produced only immature viruses, as well as a specific furin inhibitor that blocked furin cleavage, and by in vitro cleavage experiments with recombinant furin. Treatment of immature TBEV particles with furin resulted in a 100-fold increase in specific infectivity and the acquisition of hemagglutination as well as membrane fusion activities.

Importantly, furin cleavage itself did not require an acidic pH, but the conformational change exposing the cleavage site in prM was characteristic of mature virions (see Figure 1) and exposes the furin cleavage site in prM. The cleaved-off pr segment of prM remains associated with E at acidic pH, but falls off at the neutral pH of the extracellular fluid upon secretion of the particles. The furin cleavage site of TBEV corresponds to a consensus sequence also found in other flavivirus prM proteins (Table 1). The dependence of virus maturation on this conserved sequence element in prM was demonstrated directly by a genetic approach. A specific mutation in the furin recognition sequence engineered into an infectious
Table 1. Furin cleavage sites of different flaviviruses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus clone</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Amino acid (AA) sequence pr</th>
<th>AA sequence M</th>
<th>GenBank Accession no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBEV</td>
<td>Neudoerfl</td>
<td>YGRCGKQEGS--RTRRR</td>
<td>SVLIPSH</td>
<td>U27495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POW virus</td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>YGRCGRQAGS--RGKR</td>
<td>SVVRIPTH</td>
<td>L06436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN-1 virus</td>
<td>SG/07K3640DK1/2008</td>
<td>YGTC-SQITGEHRDRDKR</td>
<td>SVALAPH</td>
<td>GQ398255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika virus</td>
<td>H/PF/2013</td>
<td>YGTCHHKKEARRRSSR</td>
<td>AVTLPSH</td>
<td>KJ776791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WNV</td>
<td>NY_99</td>
<td>YGRC-TKTRHSSRSSR</td>
<td>SLTVQTH</td>
<td>DQ211652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFV</td>
<td>Asibi</td>
<td>YGKC-DSAGRSRSSRSSR</td>
<td>AIDLPTH</td>
<td>AY640589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The arrow indicates the proteolytic cleavage site.

b Sequence positions P1 to P14 (TBEV numbers) upstream of cleavage site (pr part), dibasic motif in bold letters (P1, P2)

c Sequence positions P1' to P6' downstream of cleavage site (M protein)

TBEV clone (resulting in the deletion of one of the arginines at P1, P2; Table 1) did not impair the assembly of immature particles but completely abolished infectivity. Insectivity could be restored by in vitro trypsin cleavage, which is likely to cleave at one of the R residues that was retained at the furin cleavage site (Table 1).

High-resolution structures of immature and mature TBEV particles have not yet been published. Considering the high degree of structural conservation of flavivirus E proteins, and the similarity of the life-cycles of flaviviruses in general, it is justified to assume that the molecular organization of TBE virions will be similar to those determined for dengue (Figure 1E-F), Zika, and West Nile viruses.10,12–18,24–26 These studies revealed that immature particles carry 60 spikes of trimers of prM-E heterodimers. The acidic pH in the TGN causes a major re-arrangement of the viral glycoprotein interactions, resulting in a herringbone-like shell of 90 E protein dimers (Figure 1G). Data obtained with dengue virus show that the pr fragment remains associated with the particles at acidic pH after furin cleavage but dissociates at neutral pH when the particles are released from the cells (Figure 2).11

Mature virions display the herringbone-like arrangement of E that was induced in immature particles when encountering the low pH in the TGN. The release of the pr fragment leaves E in a metastable conformation, poised to undergo dramatic low pH-induced structural changes that mediate viral fusion in endosomes upon virus entry (see below). The function of prM and the pr fragment is thus to protect E in the acidic TGN and to avoid membrane fusion already at this stage of the viral life-cycle.27

The static pictures of fully immature and fully mature particle structures determined by electron cryo-microscopy cannot be reconciled with all experimental data obtained in studies of flavivirus entry and virus interactions with antibodies. First, some antibodies binding to seemingly inaccessible (cryptic) epitopes in E neutralized viral infectivity in various flavivirus systems. These observations led to the concept of virus ‘breathing’ as a consequence of envelope glycoprotein dynamics,28 reflecting the metastable nature of E which transiently exposes otherwise buried protein surfaces within the E dimer or at the inter-dimer contact regions in the virion. Retrospectively, antibody-induced conformational changes, described for TBEV already in 1984, are also likely due to E protein dynamics and virus ‘breathing’.29 Secondly, many data indicate that virus particles released from infected cells are a heterogeneous mixture of immature, partially mature, and fully mature particles.30,31 As a specific structural feature, partially mature and ‘breathing’ particles expose the viral membrane, which has been shown to be a target for interactions with cellular lipid receptors that can mediate cell entry.32,33

It can be hypothesized that an ensemble of heterogeneous particles in combination with virus ‘breathing’ may be important for flaviviruses to infect different tissues in their invertebrate and vertebrate hosts. Heterogeneity may also be required to maintain these viruses in their natural cycles and constitute a powerful means to adapt to new environments or to acquire new pathogenic properties, such as those observed in the recent Zika virus epidemic.34,35

2.2. Subviral particles

Flavivirus-infected cells do not only secrete complete virus particles but also subviral particles that are non-
infectious and smaller than whole viruses but have similar HA activity. Because of these properties they were described as ‘slowly sedimenting hemagglutinin’ (SHA) in the flavivirus literature.  

Noninfectious subviral particles of TBEV were produced in recombinant form by the co-expression of the 2 viral glycoproteins prM and E in COS-1 cells. These particles [designated recombinant subviral particles (RSPs)] were secreted from transfected cells and had a density of approximately 1.14 g/cm³. They were sensitive to disintegration by the detergent Triton X 100, consistent with the presence of a lipid membrane carrying the 2 viral envelope proteins. The formation of RSPs could also be achieved by the expression of prM and E from separate plasmids, but was not possible with a soluble form of E that lacked its membrane anchor. More detailed mapping studies allowed the identification of the so-called stem together with the first trans-membrane regions of E to be essential for particle formation.

The ER was shown to be the site of assembly of RSPs by biochemical and electron microscopical analyses. In addition to the rough ER, RSPs were observed in the smooth ER and downstream compartments of the secretory pathway. Approximately 75% of the particles had a diameter of 30 nm, but a number of larger particles and tubular structures were also seen in vesicular compartments of transfected cells. It is an important conclusion of these studies that the formation of prM-E heterodimers and their lateral interactions are sufficient to drive the budding of membrane-containing virus-like particles at the ER membrane, in the absence of any interactions with viral RNA or a capsid.

The 30 nm RSPs were the first flaviviral particles for which an electron cryomicroscopy structure was determined. The 19Å resolution map revealed an arrangement of E protein dimers in a T=1 icosahedral surface lattice (different from that of the virion, Figure 1G) and allowed the definition of interaction sites between E dimers, positions of M relative to E, and the assignment of transmembrane regions of E and M. When the prM furin cleavage site was deleted in the plasmid construct for RSP production by mutagenesis, a substantial number of particles were observed that had the same size as whole immature virions (diameter 60 nm), in addition to the 30 nm particles described before. It was therefore concluded that the primary assembly products in prM-E expressing cells are immature particles of both size classes, but in their mature forms (i.e., after prM cleavage) the larger particles are less stable and therefore seen as a minority compared to the 30 nm particles secreted from transfected cells. Apparently, alternative assembly products can be formed by prM-E interactions. The role of subviral particles in natural TBEV infections of ticks and/or mammalian hosts remains to be elucidated.

The E protein in RSPs appears to be structurally and functionally identical to that at the surface of whole TBE viirions. As a consequence, RSPs proved to be valuable non-infectious model systems to assess biological properties of E, including membrane fusion and antigenic structure (see below: Structure and functions of E, Virus entry and membrane fusion; Antigenic structure of TBEV and virus neutralization). Importantly, their particulate nature also makes them an excellent candidate for use as a recombinant vaccine antigen, as shown by mouse immunization and challenge experiments. In these experiments, the immunogenicity of RSPs was compared with soluble E dimers, E rosettes formed by detergent removal after solubilization of the viral membrane, and whole formalin-inactivated purified TBEV. With respect to both the extent of antibody induction and protection from challenge, the RSPs were equivalent to the inactivated virus vaccine. This high immunogenicity is most likely due to the presentation of multiple copies of the native E protein on a large particulate carrier, mimicking its presentation on whole virus particles. Similar conclusions were also derived from a DNA immunization study in mice. Plasmid constructs giving rise to secreted RSPs were superior to those expressing a secreted C-terminally truncated E dimer, or a non-secreted full-length form of E.

3. Structure and functions of E

The TBEV E protein has at least 2 essential functions in the viral life-cycle (Figure 2), consistent with its prominent presentation at the viral surface. It is responsible for interactions with attachment factors and entry receptors at the plasma membrane of target cells, and it mediates viral membrane fusion after cellular uptake by receptor-mediated endocytosis. While TBEV membrane fusion has been studied in great detail, the search for viral receptors is still quite elusive, reminiscent of the situation described for flaviviruses in general.

3.1. Cell attachment and receptors

Several sets of experiments have provided evidence that TBEV can use negatively charged glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) such as heparan sulfate (HS) as an attachment factor in certain cells. Passing of a virus isolate from ticks in BHK-21 cells resulted in the accumulation of mutations that were distributed over a large part of the upper and lateral surface of E including each of the 3 domains (Figure 1). Importantly, these mutations resulted in an increase of positive charges at the viral surface, increasing its affinity
for BHK-21 cells. Growth of the mutant viruses, but not the wild type, could be inhibited competitively by heparin, confirming their adaptation and dependence on GAG-binding for entry. The increased affinity for GAGs was associated with a decrease in virulence in a mouse model and may be a general principle for attenuating flaviviruses. A connection between an increased binding to GAGs and attenuation was also observed for viruses of the JEV serocomplex and the 17D strain of the live yellow fever vaccine.

The role of GAGs in TBEV entry was investigated in greater detail using mutant CHO cells that are deficient in the synthesis of GAGs. Interestingly, while virus binding to these mutant cells was much lower than that to normal CHO cells, no difference was observed in terms of cell infection. It was therefore suggested that HS is not required for the infection of CHO cells, and that 1 or more other receptors are required for virus entry into these cells.

Since the structure determination of E, the immunoglobulin-like DIII (Figure 1) has been hypothesized to be a site of receptor interactions not only for TBEV but for flaviviruses in general. This was primarily based on the fact that a number of mutations affecting flavivirus virulence were concentrated in this domain and that the so-called FG loop is enlarged to contain an RGD sequence in some mosquito-borne flaviviruses, which is a characteristic ligand-binding motif for members of the integrin family of cell surface receptors. Experiments with recombinant DIII of Langat virus (a close relative of TBEV) have revealed that its addition to cells before infection resulted in a somewhat decreased virus growth, which was interpreted as evidence that DIII is involved in receptor binding. So far, however, there is no information as to possible interaction partners of DIII at the cell surface and further efforts will be necessary to get a more complete picture of TBEV receptor interactions.

In general, it is believed that flaviviruses may use different receptors in different tissues of the various invertebrate and vertebrate host species involved in natural transmission. It was recently shown for several flaviviruses (but not yet for TBEV) that not only the E protein but also lipids of the viral membrane may bind to cellular lipid receptors. They normally recognize apoptotic cells and control their removal by phagocytes. Hijacking these receptors by viruses to gain access to cells has therefore been designated apoptotic mimicry.

3.2. Virus entry and membrane fusion

The presence of acidotropic agents such as NH4Cl or baflomycin A1 early in infection had a strong inhibitory effect on the replication of TBEV, consistent with virus uptake by receptor-mediated endocytosis and the importance of an acidic endosomal compartment for viral membrane fusion. The acid pH-dependence of TBEV fusion activity was first demonstrated by Guirakhoo et al. and further studied in detail using a combination of biochemical, structural, mutational, and functional studies. Chemical cross-linking experiments and sedimentation analyses demonstrated that the exposure to acidic pH caused a quantitative oligomeric rearrangement of metastable E dimers into stable trimers at the virion surface, with a pH threshold of 6.5, suggesting that this dimer-trimer transition provides the energy and drives the fusion of viral and endosomal membranes. Further biochemical studies indicated that the structural conversion of E was a 2-step process, in which the acidic pH in endosomes first caused the dissociation of E dimers followed by an irreversible trimerization. Monoclonal antibody studies and mutational analyses provided evidence that the highly conserved sequence element in E, located at the tip of DII and now designated fusion loop (FL), was responsible for interacting with the endosomal target membrane as an initial step in membrane fusion.

It was a key finding of these studies that the soluble E dimer (which dissociates into monomers at acidic pH) could be converted into a trimer in the presence of liposomes, laying the foundation for the crystallization of this post-fusion conformation and the determination of its atomic structure by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3). The structure revealed that the folding of the 3 domains is maintained but that their relative orientation is altered. Specifically, DIII relocates from its position at the end of the dimer to the side of the trimer in such a way that a hairpin-like structure is formed, in which the FL and the stem-anchor region of E would be juxtaposed in the full-length E trimer. This structure was reminiscent of the post-fusion structures of class 1 viral fusion proteins such as the influenza virus hemagglutinin and suggested—in combination with studies on fusion intermediates—that the TBEV fusion mechanism consists of several steps as depicted in Figure 3C. In this process, the acidic-pH-induced dissociation of E dimers leads to the exposure and interaction of the FL with the endosomal membrane, the relocation of DIII and the zippering of the ‘stem’ along DII in the trimer, thus driving the merger of the 2 membranes. Mutational analyses provided evidence for a specific molecular interaction at the N-terminal end of the stem and a pocket of DII, which appears to be essential for the correct positioning of the stem for the zippering reaction.

An important question in the context of TBEV fusion relates to the molecular switches that sense the acidic pH in endosomes and induce the fusogenic conformational change in E. Because of their pKa near the pH threshold of
fusion, histidines have been hypothesized to play such a role in the fusion trigger. There are indeed 5 histidines (H146,248,287,323,438) that are absolutely conserved among flavivirus E proteins, suggesting an indispensable structural or functional role in the viral life-cycles. The use of RSPs (see above) with mutated histidines at these positions allowed the identification of H323 as a key residue for triggering the acidic-pH-induced trimerization of E and concomitant membrane fusion.

This residue is involved in intramolecular interactions at the interface between DI and DIII in the E dimer. Its protonation apparently facilitates E dimer dissociation and allows DIII to be released from its original position and to relocate as required for post-fusion trimer formation (Figure 3). Other conserved histidines were shown to be dispensable for fusion, but they may have critical roles in unrelated low-pH-driven processes of the viral life-cycle, such as virus maturation (Figure 2).

4. Antigenic structure of TBEV and virus neutralization

Because of its functions in flavivirus attachment and entry as well as membrane fusion in endosomes (see above and Figure 2,3), the E protein is the major target and inducer of neutralizing antibodies, and all experimental data obtained with TBEV are consistent with this notion. Potently neutralizing and protective antibodies were induced by E solubilized from purified TBEV, confirming the primary role of such antibodies in the induction of a protective immunity. While soluble forms of E and even the isolated DIII were capable of inducing neutralizing antibodies, particulate or aggregated forms (E rosettes) had a much higher specific immunogenicity and would therefore be preferred vaccine antigens.

4.1. Epitopes of TBEV protein E

More precise mapping of epitopes in E and their involvement in virus neutralization became possible with the preparation of TBEV-specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs). By the application of these mAbs for immunochemical analyses and the selection of mAb-resistant virus mutants, topological and functional models of epitopes could be defined and epitopes involved in virus neutralization were structurally characterized. The elucidation of the crystal structure of the E dimer then allowed the precise localization of antibody binding sites (Figure 4). It became apparent that neutralizing antibodies can be induced by each of the 3 domains, consistent with a plethora of publications on the antigenic structure of other flaviviruses [reviewed in references 89-91].

The complexity of the antigenic structure cannot be understood completely on the basis of the isolated E protein. Studies with different soluble and particulate forms of E revealed a strong influence of its quaternary structure and specific display at the surface of virions. The TBEV data are fully consistent with those obtained by high-resolution structural analyses of antibody-E complexes of other flaviviruses [reviewed in references 91-93]. Taken
together, it can be concluded that TBEV, like all other flaviviruses, displays a continuum of antigenic sites at its surface with the potential of inducing neutralizing antibodies. Epitopes of such antibodies have been mapped to individual domains in E or were shown to be more complex and to comprise residues from adjacent domains, from both monomers in the dimer or even adjacent dimers in the herringbone arrangement of E at the viral surface (quaternary epitopes) [reviewed in references 91–93].

4.2. Mechanisms of virus neutralization

The most apparent mechanism of virus neutralization by E-specific antibodies is the blocking of cell attachment, as shown for different flaviviruses. In addition, the inhibition of post-entry processes by antibodies bound to the internalized virus is likely to contribute to virus neutralization. This holds especially true for membrane fusion, which requires substantial relocations of protein domains (see above) that may be impeded by bound antibodies. Insights into such activities were provided by in vitro analyses of TBEV fusion inhibition by E-specific mAbs. Depending on the location of the bound antibody, early and late stages of the fusion process were impaired, by either blocking the initial interaction with the target membrane or by interfering with the required relocation of DIII and the formation of the post-fusion E trimer (see above).

A special case are antibodies directed to the fusion loop at the tip of DII (Figure 1A), which, because of the high conservation of this structural element, are highly cross-reactive with E proteins from all flaviviruses. They react strongly with soluble forms of E and inhibit in vitro liposomal fusion, but they have virtually no neutralizing activity against TBEV. An explanation of this phenomenon is the fact that FL-specific antibodies are unable to react with intact mature TBE virions (‘cryptic epitopes’) and therefore cannot reach the endosomal compartment where fusion takes place. The cryptic nature of the FL may, however, differ among flaviviruses, depending on their stability and ‘breathing’ behavior (see above). As a consequence, broadly flavivirus cross-reactive antibodies may display neutralizing activity against certain viruses only, a feature observed especially with dengue viruses.
4.3. Antigenic relationships of TBEV with other flaviviruses

Even the most distantly related flaviviruses have approximately 40% identical amino acids in their E proteins (Figure 5A). Most of these residues, however, are located inside the protein whereas most of the surface-exposed and antigenically-relevant residues differ among flaviviruses from different serocomplexes. This is visualized in a comparison of such residues in E of TBEV versus that of dengue virus serotype 2 (Figure 5B, panel I), which shows that almost the whole surface is different, explaining the lack of cross-neutralization between TBEV and flaviviruses of other serocomplexes (Figure 5A). The only patch of conservation includes the fusion loop, which is cryptic in TBEV and, therefore, inaccessible for antibodies (see above).

Cross-neutralization is, however, observed within the TBEV serocomplex (Figure 5A), which also includes Louping Ill, Langat, Omsk hemorrhagic fever (OHF), Kyasanur Forest disease (KFD), and Powassan viruses. These viruses display a higher degree of conserved patches of amino acids at their...
surface that is responsible for cross-neutralization. Powassan virus is the most distant relative of TBEV in this serocomplex with approximately 20% sequence divergence in E (Figure 5A).

4.4 TBEV subtypes and strains

Comparison of virus strains from all areas of TBEV endemicity have revealed 3 major subtypes [European, Siberian, and Far Eastern], which are sometimes also referred to as genotypes. Additional heterogeneity may exist and 2 further genetic lineages have been described. Overall, the amino acid sequence divergence observed in the E proteins of different TBEV subtypes does not exceed 6.9%. This is within the range of natural variation observed with other human-pathogenic flaviviruses (e.g., YFV 5%; WNV 7%, Den 6%). Pairwise comparisons of individual strains from different subtypes show that the differences are relatively small (Figure 5B). Variation observed within the subtypes is even smaller, and does not exceed 1.8% for the European subtype. The low degree of antigenic variation is an important aspect of vaccine usage. Experiments with serum samples obtained after vaccination with a European subtype TBEV vaccine revealed no differences in the neutralization of European, Siberian, or Far Eastern TBEV subtype strains, whereas neutralization of the closely related OHF virus (Figure 5A) was somewhat reduced. In a related study, a high degree of cross-protection between TBEV subtypes was also observed in mouse challenge experiments after immunization with vaccines based on European or Far-Eastern subtype strains. It was, therefore, concluded that a single vaccine will protect against all TBEV strains circulating in nature, similar to the situation with vaccines against other flaviviruses such as JEV and YFV.

Overall, the degree of cross-neutralization by polyclonal sera within the TBEV serocomplex (and other flavivirus serocomplexes) seems to follow the degree of amino acid conservation in E (Figure 5A). Observations made with some flaviruses, however, indicate that differences at single amino acids can lead to substantial differences in virus neutralization, presumably due to influences of such mutations on virus envelope dynamics and the accessibility of certain epitopes. A similar variation, related to a single amino acid difference in E, was reported in a comparative study of vaccines that use different strains as seed viruses for vaccine production. Differences were found in the induction of antibodies that neutralize circulating strains of TBEV that could be related to a single amino acid difference (NS2K) at the hinge region between DI and DII.

4.5 Fine specificities of antibody responses to TBEV

The mapping of epitopes in the E protein of TBEV virus and other flaviviruses with mAbs has provided us with deep insights into antigenic structure, and details of antibody interactions with these viruses. In contrast, relatively little is known about the fine specificities of antibodies in polyclonal responses, as well as their individual variation after TBEV infections and vaccinations. The issue was addressed by Jarmer et al. who deconstructed human antibody responses after TBEV infection and vaccination using immunoassays with recombinant proteins consisting of individual domains and domain combinations of E. Extensive variation was not only observed with respect to the extent of antibody formation but also with respect to the fine specificities of antibodies produced in the course of immune responses, suggesting that patterns of immunodominance are strongly influenced by individual-specific factors. Importantly, most of the neutralizing activity could be depleted from sera by the dimeric E protein, indicating that complex quaternary epitopes, depending on the herringbone-like arrangement of E dimers at the viral surface (Figure 1G), play only a minor role in the neutralizing antibody response, both after infection and vaccination.

In humans, it is currently unknown to what extent the fine specificities of antibody responses can be modulated by pre-existing antibodies (against homologous or heterologous flavivirus antigens) when present at the time of infection or vaccination. A mouse immunization study with the recombinant TBEV E protein dimer and passively-administered monoclonal antibodies, however, revealed that such influences may be substantial. Mechanistically, the differences observed in antibody responses were related to shielding of epitopes in E by the co-administered mAb and to mAb-induced dissociation of the E dimer, resulting in the exposure of antigenic surfaces that would be cryptic in the native protein. It remains to be seen whether human antibody responses may be modulated by similar mechanisms and whether the resulting changes in antibody fine specificity and composition can affect virus neutralization.

5. Perspectives and future research

The era of flavivirus structural biology was initiated by the X-ray structure determination of the TBEV E protein dimer and has now led to unprecedented insights into the organization and molecular changes of flavivirus particles in different phases of the viral life-cycle. Although high
resolution particle structures have been determined for dengue and Zika viruses in both their mature and immature forms by electron cryo-microscopy,\(^{14,18,25}\) no such details are yet available for TBEV and will be a topic of future research. The same also holds true for investigations in the complex area of viral receptors. Recent data obtained with other flaviviruses suggest that populations of heterogeneous, partially mature but infectious virus particles may be produced in different hosts and tissues involved in the viral life-cycles. Such heterogeneity, in combination with the phenomenon of virus ‘breathing’, is a mechanism that modulates the viral surface and thus increases potential interaction sites with cellular attachment factors.\(^{28,109}\) Particle heterogeneity also promotes the exposure of the viral membrane as a prerequisite for using apoptotic mimicry in virus entry,\(^{33}\) a mechanism that has yet to be investigated for TBEV. Populations of heterogeneous particles may not only be essential for virus replication in quite distantly related invertebrate and vertebrate hosts, but may also modulate antibody responses and epitope recognition.\(^{101,102,110}\) These are new, exciting aspects of flavivirus structure that provide fertile ground for interesting and important investigations in the future, aiming at a more profound understanding of the complex biology of TBEV as a human pathogen.
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